

International Scientific Organization http://iscientific.org/ Chemistry International www.bosaljournals.com/chemint/



Activated sludge combined with powdered activated carbon (PACT process) for the petroleum industry wastewater treatment: A review

Shahryar Jafarinejad

Chemical Engineering Division, College of Environment, UoE, Karaj, Iran *Corresponding author's E. mail: jafarinejad83@gmail.com

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article type: Review article Article history: Received January 2017 Accepted April 2017 October 2017 Issue Keywords: Wastewater Treatment Petroleum Activated sludge PACT process

ABSTRACT

Treatment of petroleum industry wastewater by a conventional process such as activated sludge may be hindered by the presence of recalcitrant organic compounds; therefore, tertiary treatments for wastewater polishing are required. Powdered activated carbon (PAC) adding to the activated sludge process can lead to a higher quality of treated wastewater, a more stable system and the production of reusable water. In this study, history, principles, mechanisms, and advantages of powdered activated carbon treatment (PACT) process, selection of carbon, typical configuration of a refinery wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) consisting of the PACT process, and application and/or performance of the PACT technology for the petroleum industry wastewater treatment have been reviewed. Several laboratories, pilot and full scale studies have demonstrated that PACT technology can be useful for the petroleum industry wastewater treatment. PACT process can generally be applied for the petroleum industry wastewater in those cases where stringent standards require to be met for certain contaminants.

© 2017 International Scientific Organization: All rights reserved.

Capsule Summary: History, principles, mechanisms, and advantages of PACT process, selection of carbon, typical configuration of a refinery WWTP consisting of the PACT process, and application and/or performance of the PACT technology for the petroleum industry wastewater treatment are discussed.

Cite This Article As: S. Jafarinejad. Activated sludge combined with powdered activated carbon (PACT process) for the petroleum industry wastewater treatment: A review. Chemistry International 3(4) 368-377.

INTRODUCTION

Petroleum industry includes activities or sectors such as exploration, development and production; hydrocarbon processing (refineries and petrochemical plants); storage, transportation, and distribution; and retail or marketing (Jafarinejad, 2016a,b; 2017a).

Large amounts of wastewaters are generated in the petroleum industry (Jafarinejad, 2017a,b) which contain a diverse range of contaminants such as oil, phenols, sulfides,

dissolved solids, suspended solids, toxic metals and biological oxygen demand (BOD)-bearing materials, etc (Beychock, 1967; Santos et al., 2016). Management and treatment of these complex wastewaters are a great problem (Tyagi et al., 1993).

Due to the compliance with the regulations set by regulatory agencies, the related harm prevention on the surrounding environment, and water reuse issues, the petroleum industry wastewaters need treatment with appropriate treatment technologies (Ghorbanian et al., 2014).



Fig. 1: Schematic of a typical powdered activated carbon treatment (PACT) process (modified from Meidl, 1992; 1997; IPIECA, 2010; Jafarinejad, 2017a).

Process wastewater pretreatment, primary treatment, secondary treatment, and tertiary treatment or polishing are general classifications of petroleum industry wastewater treatment (U.S. EPA, 1995; Benyahia et al., 2006; IPIECA, 2010; European Commission and Joint Research Center, 2013; Goldblatt et al., 2014; Jafarinejad, 2017a,b). In secondary treatment, dissolved oil and other organic pollutants may be consumed biologically by microorganisms (U.S. EPA, 1995; Jafarinejad, 2016c; 2017a,b). In real, organic matter can be oxidized into simple products (CO₂, H₂O, and CH₄) under aerobic, anaerobic or semi aerobic conditions by microorganisms (naturally occurring, commercial, specific groups, and acclimatized sewage sludge). A C:N:P ratio (100:5:1) may be appropriate for growth of microorganisms (Ishak et al., 2012; Jafarinejad, 2017a,b). Biological treatment processes can be classified into two categories:

- Suspended growth processes such as activated sludge process (ASP), powdered activated carbon treatment (PACT) process, sequencing batch reactors (SBRs), continuous stirred tank bioreactor (CSTB), membrane bioreactors (MBRs), and aerated lagoons; and
- Attached growth processes such as trickling filters (TFs), fluidized bed bioreactor (FBB), and rotating biological contactor (RBC) (EPA, 1997; IPIECA, 2010; Ishak et al., 2012; Jafarinejad, 2017a,b).

Treatment of petroleum industry wastewater by a conventional process such as activated sludge may be hindered by the presence of recalcitrant organic compounds; therefore, tertiary treatments for wastewater polishing are required. Powdered activated carbon (PAC) adding to the



Fig. 2: Effect of sludge age on effluent soluble total organic carbon (TOC) in activated sludge and PACT systems (Meidl, 1997).

activated sludge process can lead to a higher quality of treated wastewater, a more stable system and the production of reusable water (Campos et al., 2014). In this study, history, principles, mechanisms, and advantages of powdered activated carbon treatment (PACT) process, selection of carbon, typical configuration of a refinery wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) consisting of the PACT process, and application of the PACT technology for the petroleum industry wastewater treatment have been reviewed.

History of the pact process

PACT system has been developed (U.S. Patent 3,904,518) by DuPont in the early '70s (Sublette et al., 1982; Campos et al., 2014). Robertaccio *et al.* (1972) and Robertaccio (1973) have reported on pilot scale treatment of wastewater from DuPont's Chambers Works plant in Deepwater, New Jersey (Sublette et al., 1982) and in real, the first installation was in New Jersey. Synergistic treatment improvements and lower costs in comparison with the sequential treatment processes have been seen (Heath, 1986; Foy and Close, 2007). In the 1980s, DuPont sold the patent to Zimpro. DuPont and Zimpro have designed most of the PACT systems in the world (Foy and Close, 2007). In real, according to Meidl (1992), Dupont, General Electric, Ciba-Geigy, Koch refining, Unocal, Exxon, Tenneco, Alcoa, BP oil, Bostik, Nalco, Tosco and others are some major users of the PACT technology (Meidl, 1992).

Some researches was carried out and published on the theory of PACT technology in the 1970s. This process was a proprietary technology, therefore, there is limited published information on the application of this process (Foy and Close, 2007).

Principles of the pact process

Activated carbon (both PAC and granular activated carbon (GAC)) has been utilized for a long time in water and wastewater treatment due to its large surface area for



Fig. 3: The simplified process flow diagram (PFD) of a refinery WWTP consisting of PACT process (modified from Meidl, 1997).

adsorption (Tri, 2002; Jafarinejad, 2015; 2017a). PAC has a diameter of less than 200 mesh (Tri, 2002). Activated sludge treatment with PAC or PACT process is similar to the conventional activated sludge process, but in this process, PAC is added directly into the aeration tank or mixed with the influent of this tank. The removal of contaminants is achieved and enhanced by a combination of biodegradation and adsorption (Tri, 2002; IPIECA, 2010; Jafarinejad, 2017a). A schematic of a typical PACT process is shown in Fig. 1. Most of the PAC is recycled with the activated sludge, but the system needs a continuous makeup of fresh carbon (IPIECA, 2010; Jafarinejad, 2017a).

According to Tri (2002) and Jafarinejad (2017a), the PACT process could generally remove organic compounds more efficiently than what would be expected from either biodegradation or adsorption alone. The dosage of PAC and the mixed-liquor-PAC-suspended-solids concentration are related to the sludge age as follows:

$$X_{p} = \frac{X_{i}\theta_{c}}{\theta}$$
⁽¹⁾

Where, X_p is equilibrium PAC mixed-liquor-suspended-solids (MLSS) content (mg/L), X_i denotes PAC dosage (mg/L), θ_c is solid retention time (SRT) (d), and θ denotes hydraulic retention time (HRT) (d). The organic removal per unit of carbon is enhanced with higher sludge ages which it improves the process efficiency (Tri, 2002; Jafarinejad, 2017a). In real, the surface area in the treatment zone is enhanced with increasing the SRT which is provided by the carbon; thus, the amount of bacteria therein will also be

increased. Effect of sludge age on effluent soluble total organic carbon (TOC) in activated sludge and PACT systems is shown in Fig. 2. According to Fig. 2, in activated sludge process, there is no considerable decreasing in effluent soluble TOC by increasing sludge age; however, at a constant carbon dose, PACT system is able to remarkably reduce soluble TOC solely by increasing sludge age (Meidl, 1997).

Because the addition of carbon does not entail any main preparation, space, or capital investment and this modification could be readily incorporated into most existing plants; therefore, it can be very feasible solution in wastewater treatment (Stadnik and Flynn, 1977; Nayar and Sylvester, 1979). PACT technology has been widely applied in organic chemicals, petrochemicals, refineries, textile/dyes, pulp and paper, pharmaceutical industries, industrial and municipal water re-use, leachates, contaminated groundwater treatment, etc (Meidl, 1997; Foy and Close, 2007).

Mechanisms of the pact process

In the PACT process, the removal of pollutants is attained and enhanced by a combination of biodegradation and adsorption (Tri, 2002; IPIECA, 2010; Jafarinejad, 2017a). In real, according to Sublette et al. (1982), there is an apparent synergistic effect which is originated from the stimulation of biological activity through removal of inhibitory substances or concentration of nutrients and the bioregeneration of the adsorptive capacity of activated carbon (Sublette et al., 1982).

Advantages of the pact process

Tuble 1 The perior manee of the system for a remiter y wasternater (Fielding 1997)
--

Parameter	Actual influent	PACT effluent	Discharge standard
BOD (mg/L)	718	7	15
COD (mg/L)	1494	78	250
Phenolics (mg/L)	70	Nil	1
Sulfide (mg/L)	142	Nil	0.5
Oil and grease (mg/L)		2	10
Suspended solids (mg/L)	75	6	20
pH	8	6.6	6-8.5

Table 2: The performance of PACT system for refinery wastewater (Meidl, 1992)

Parameter	PACT influent	PACT effluent	
COD (mg/L)	616	129	
$BOD_5 (mg/L)$	149	<6	
Suspended solids (mg/L)	31	7	
NH ₃ -N (mg/L)	16.1	<1	
Cyanide (mg/L)	4.78	0.154	
Phenols (mg/L)	9.55	0.053	
Color (APHA units)	109	38	
Oil and grease (mg/L)	14.8	0.7	
Toxicity			
Rainbow trout (LC ₅₀ -96 hours)		>100	
Sea urchin (NOEC), %		>90	
Sea urchin (LOEC), %		>90	
Water flea (NOEC), %		>90	
Water flea (LOEC), %		>100	
LC_{50} : Concentration of sample in water which achieves 50% fish mortality in 96 hours.			

NOEC: No Observable Effect Concentration

LOEC: Lowest Observable Effect Concentration

Table 3: The	performance of PACT	'system for a	petrochemical	wastewater	Meidl.	1997)
Tuble of the	perior manee or rrior	System for u	petrochemicul	wastewater	incrui,	1 / / / /

Parameter	Influent	Effluent
Flow (gallon/day)	90000	
SBOD (mg/L)	1600	<30
SCOD (mg/L)	3600	135
Oil and grease (mg/L)	150	5
Total suspended solids (mg/L)	650	60

Improved removal of BOD; improved removal of chemical oxygen demand (COD) and refractory organics; improved stability to shock loads and toxic upsets; less tendency to foam in aerator (Sublette et al., 1982); the operational flexibility enhancement of the treatment system (Meidl, 1992); lower effluent toxicity (Meidl, 1997; Sublette et al., 1982); metals control without a separate precipitation step; volatile organic compound (VOC)/odor control and minimization; color removal (Meidl, 1992; 1997); improved sludge settling and dewatering (Sublette et al., 1982) or less

wet solids for disposal and simplified residual management (Meidl, 1997) are some advantages of PACT process over conventional activated sludge.

Selection of carbon

The treatment efficiency and the operation cost can be affected by the carbon selection. The common sources of activated carbon are wood, lignite coal, bituminous coal, and coconut shells which have different characteristics. Iodine numbers usually show the sorption capacity of carbon for aromatic compounds (short chain) and molasses number for coloring compounds (long chain). Iodine numbers for lignite, virgin bituminous, and bituminous are 550, 800, and 700, respectively, whereas, molasses numbers for lignite, virgin bituminous, and bituminous are 425, 225, and 325, respectively. The relative cost for virgin bituminous>lignite>bituminous. In real, the optimum carbon is wastewater specific (Foy and Close, 2007).

Activated carbon is generally applied in powder or granular form. According to Grieves et al. (1980), Wong et al. (1992), and Foy and Close (2007), PAC is more common for petroleum industry wastewater treatment due to its economical and treatment capability.

Application of the pact process for the petroleum industry wastewater treatment

Several laboratory, pilot and full scale studies have demonstrated that PACT technology can be useful for the petroleum industry wastewater treatment (Rizzo, 1976, 1979; Crane, 1978, 1979; Nayar and Sylvester, 1979; Grieves et al., 1978a,b, 1979, 1980; Meidl, 1997; Guarino et al., 1988; Yaghmaei et al., 2005; Foy and Close, 2007; Campos et al., 2014). PACT process is generally applied for the petroleum industry wastewater in those cases where stringent standards require to be met for certain contaminants (IPIECA, 2010; Jafarinejad, 2017a). At this time, the largest applications of the PACT technology can be found in the petroleum refineries and petrochemical plants (Meidl, 1997).

Nayar and Sylvester (1979) showed that in a biological reactor containing a pure culture of *E. coli* subjected to a variety of feed upsets involving phenol, the powdered activated carbon addition could greatly control upsets in biological process and permit operation with an input phenol concentration above 1000 mg/L (Nayar and Sylvester, 1979).

Tri (2002) investigated the biodegradation of the oily wastewater by activated sludge process and biological activated carbon (BAC) process operated in sequencing batch reactor (SBR) mode by adding PAC into the reactor at different doses of 100 - 500 mg/L. The removal efficiency of activated sludge process reported to be 80% for COD and 90% for oil and grease at HRT of 13h and a tendency of effluent quality improvement of the BAC process was observed at PAC dose of 500 mg/L and HRT of 3h compared to the activated sludge process (Tri, 2002). Yaghmaei et al. (2005) compared PACT and bioaugmentation of activated sludge treatment (BAST) processes for the treatment of furfural-containing wastewater (Pars oil refinery wastewater with furfural concentrations of 100-2000 ppm). A little higher COD removal efficiency in PACT process and the same furfural removal in both processes were reported, however, at low HRT, BAST process demonstrated better results in both furfural and COD removal than PACT process. Settability of effluent sludge in BAST process was better than in PACT process and due to the rapid growth of microbial biomass in BAST process, the MLSS concentration in this process was higher than in PACT process and thus, BAST process has a lower need to return sludge than PACT process. They concluded that because of both high performance and optimum conditions with economical operation, BAST process may be an attractive alternative to existing PACT process for the treatment of furfural-containing wastewater, especially in developing countries (Yaghmaei et al., 2005).

Campos et al. (2014) compared the efficiency of PACT process with activated sludge in the treatment of oil refinery wastewater and reported that by applying Norit (SAE Super 94009-7) PAC under HRT of 24 h, SRT of 30 d, carbon replacement of 150 mgPAC/L_{affluent} and 4.5 gPAC/L_{reactor}, the removal efficiencies were 98% for COD and 99% for phenol, and there was an overall reduction in *Ceriodaphnia dubia* chronic toxicity. In addition, the PACT process was more stable in comparison with the activated sludge (Campos et al., 2014).

According to Meidl (1997), the simplified process flow diagram (PFD) of a refinery WWTP consisting of PACT process is shown in Fig. 3 which includes equalization, free oil and emulsified oil separation systems, PACT aeration basin, clarifier, treated effluent guard pond, gravity thickener, wet air regeneration (WAR) system, centrifuge dewatering, etc. The performance of this plant for a refinery wastewater is given in Table 1 which all discharge standards are met (Meidl, 1997). In the other work, the performance of PACT system for a refinery wastewater was given which is shown in Table 2. This table illustrates that for example, COD, BOD, oil and grease removals in this system are 79.05%, >95.07%, and 95.27%, respectively (Meidl, 1992).

Also, a six-month pilot test of the PACT system and activated sludge conducted at a petrochemical for a complex, high-strength organic wastewater from the production of organic acids, solvents and aromatics demonstrated that effluent COD and BOD for PACT system were 100 and 14 mg/L, respectively, whereas, these parameters for activated sludge were 285 and 32 mg/L, respectively. The performance of the full-scale PACT system for this wastewater is given in Table 3. According to Meidl (1997), the PACT system is about 10% less in capital costs than the activated sludge. Also, anticipated cost per cubic meter treated is \$0.98 for the PACT system, versus \$1.05 for the activated sludge. In addition, the amount of dewatered sludge for the PACT system is less than the activated sludge (Meidl, 1997).

According to Foy and Close (2007), in 2005, Burns & McDonnell added PAC to the activated sludge process (in real, modified and installed a PACT system) at a petrochemical facility to decrease whole effluent toxicity (WET). After addition of PAC to the ASP, COD removal, ammonia removal, and toxicity (survival) were 90%, 100%, and 100%, respectively. It is necessary to note that the addition of PAC to an existing WWTP may need mechanical changes beyond the PAC feed system to avoid material erosion, settling, motor overloads, and line plugging (Foy and Close, 2007).

In 2011, China Petroleum & Chemical Corporation (Sinopec Corp.) selected Siemens Water Technologies to provide a system to treat wastewater at Anqing refinery, in

Parameter	PACT Effluent	Values of liquid effluents levels form the petroleum
		industry
pH	6.6 ^a	6-9 °
BOD ₅ (mg/L)	7 ª, <6 b	30 d
COD (mg/L)	78 ª, 129 b	125 e
Suspended solids (mg/L)	6 a, 7 b	30 c
Oil and grease (mg/L)	2 a, 0.7 b	10 °
Cyanide (mg/L)		
Total	0.154 b	1 °
Free		0.1 °
Phenols (mg/L)	Nil ^a , 0.053 ^b	0.2 °
Sulfides (mg/L)	Nil ^a	0.2 °

Table 4: Comparison of the performance of the PACT system for refinery wastewater with liquid effluents levels form the petroleum industry

Notes:

a. Value from Meidl (1997).

b. Value from Meidl (1992).

c. Value from World Bank Group (2016).

d. Guideline value from European Commission and Joint Research Center (2015), cited by World Bank Group (2016); National legislations may have lower values such as China: 20 mg/L.

e. Guideline value from European Commission and Joint Research Center (2015), cited by World Bank Group (2016); National legislations may have lower values such as China: 120 mg/L.

Anhui Province, China. The complete wastewater treatment solution consisted of a PACT system, a Zimpro WAR hydrothermal unit, and a hydro-clear sand filtration system to treat salty and oily wastewater from refining and petrochemical production activities from existing and upgraded units (Abdelwahab et al., 2009; Chen, 2004; Dimoglo et al., 2004; Diya'uddeen et al., 2011; Ji et al., 2002; Khaing et al., 2010; Qin et al., 2007; Rubio et al., 2002; Rocha et al., 2012; Shokrollahzadeh et al., 2008; Siemens, 2011; Zhong et al., 2003;).

CONCLUSIONS

In the PACT process, the removal of pollutants is attained and enhanced by a combination of biodegradation and adsorption. Improved removal of BOD, COD and refractory organics; improved stability to shock loads and toxic upsets; less tendency to foam in aerator; the operational flexibility enhancement of the treatment system; lower effluent toxicity; metals control without a separate precipitation step; VOC/odor control and minimization; color removal; improved sludge settling and dewatering or less wet solids for disposal and simplified residual management are some advantages of PACT process over conventional activated sludge. The PACT system may be about 10% less in capital costs than the activated sludge. By applying PACT technology for the petroleum industry wastewater treatment, all discharge standards can usually be met. Comparison of the performance of the PACT system for refinery wastewater with liquid effluents levels form the petroleum industry is shown in Table 4. PACT process is generally applied for the petroleum industry wastewater in those cases where stringent standards require to be met for certain contaminants. At this time, the largest applications of the PACT technology can be found in the petroleum refineries and petrochemical plants. The addition of PAC to an existing WWTP may need mechanical changes beyond the PAC feed system to avoid material erosion, settling, motor overloads, and line plugging.

REFERENCES

- Abdelwahab, O., Amin, N., El-Ashtoukhy, E.Z., 2009. Electrochemical removal of phenol from oil refinery wastewater. Journal of Hazardous Materials 163, 711-716.
- Benyahia, F., Abdulkarim, M., Embaby, A., Rao, M., 2006. Refinery wastewater treatment: A true technological challenge, The Seventh Annual U.A.E. University Research Conference, April, 2006, ENG- 186, Al Ain, UAE.

Beychock, M.R., 1967. Aqueous wastes from petroleum and petrochemical plants, John Wiley & Sons, London, UK.

- Campos, J.C., Machado, C.R.A., Couto, J.M.S., Florido, P.L., Cerqueira, A.C.F.P., Santiago, V.M.J., 2014. Evaluation of an activated sludge process combined with powdered activated carbon for the treatment of oil refinery wastewater, Journal of International Environmental Application & Science 9(1), 24-36.
- Chen, G., 2004. Electrochemical technologies in wastewater treatment. Separation and Purification Technology 38, 11-41.
- Crane L., 1979. Activated sludge enhancement: A viable alternative to tertiary carbon adsorption, U.S. Environment Protection Agency, EPA-600/2-79-177, 81-110.
- Crane L.W., 1978. Activated sludge enhancement: A viable alternative to tertiary carbon adsorption, Proc. of the Sec. Open Forum on the Management of Petroleum Refinery Wastewaters. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-600/2-78-058, 440-469.
- Dimoglo, A., Akbulut, H., Cihan, F., Karpuzcu, M., 2004. Petrochemical wastewater treatment by means of clean electrochemical technologies. Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy 6, 288-295.
- Diya'uddeen, B.H., Daud, W.M.A.W., Aziz, A.A., 2011. Treatment technologies for petroleum refinery effluents: A review. Process Safety and Environmental Protection 89, 95-105.
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1997. Waste water treatment manuals, primary, secondary and tertiary treatment, Environmental Protection Agency, Ardcavan, Wexford, Ireland.
- European Commission, Joint Research Center, 2013. Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for the Refining of Mineral Oil and gas, Industrial Emissions Directive 2010/75/EU (Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control), Joint Research center, Institute for Prospective Technological Studies Sustainable Production and Consumption Unit European IPPC Bureau.
- European Commission, Joint Research Center, 2015. Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for the Refining of Mineral Oil and Gas, Industrial Emissions Directive 2010/75/EU (Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control), Pascal Barthe, Michel Chaugny, Serge Roudier, Luis Delgado Sancho, Report EUR 27140 EN. [Online] Available from: http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reference/BREF/REF_BR EF_2015.pdf.
- Foy, B., Close, L., 2007. Toxicity reduction using powder activated carbon, Technical paper, Water Environment Federation Industrial Water Quality Conference, July 31, 2007.
- Ghorbanian, M., Moussavi, G., Farzadkia, M., 2014. Investigating the performance of an up-flow anoxic fixedbed bioreactor and a sequencing anoxic batch reactor for the biodegradation of hydrocarbons in petroleum-

contaminated saline water, International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation 90, 106-114.

- Goldblatt, M.E., Gucciardi, J.M., Huban, C.M., Vasconcellos, S.R., Liao, W.P., 2014. New polyelectrolyte emulsion breaker improves oily wastewater cleanup at lower usage rates, Technical paper, GE water and power, Water & Process Technologies, General Electric Company, tp382.doc 06, 1-6.
- Grieves, C.G., Crame, L.W., Venardos, D.G., Ying, W.C., 1980. Powdered versus granular carbon for oil refinery wastewater treatment, Journal of Water Pollution Control Federation 52 (3), 483-497.
- Grieves, C.G., Crane, L.W., Venardos, D.G., Ying, W., 1978a. Powdered carbon enhancement versus granular carbon adsorption for oil refinery BATEA wastewater treatment, Paper presented at the 51st Annual Conference of Water Pollution Control Federation, Anaheim, CA.
- Grieves, C.G., Streastrom, M.F., Walk, J.D., Grutsch, J.F., 1978b. Powdered activated carbon enhancement of activated sludge for BATEA wastewater treatment. Proc. of the Sec. Open Forum on the Management of Petroleum Refinery Wastewaters. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-600/2-78-058, 344-368.
- Grieves, C.G., Strenstrom, M.K., Walk, J.D., Grutsch, J.F., 1979. Powdered activated carbon enhancement of activated sludge for BATEA wastewater treatment. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-600/2-79-177, 154-178.
- Guarino, C.F., Da-Rin, B.P., Gazen, A., Goettems, E.P., 1988. Activated carbon as an advanced treatment for petrochemical wastewaters, Water Science & Technology 20 (10), 115-130.
- Heath, H.W. Jr., 1986. Bugs and carbon make A pact, Civil Engineering, 56 (4), 81-83.
- IPIECA, 2010. Petroleum refining water/wastewater use and management, IPIECA Operations Best Practice Series, London, United Kingdom.
- Ishak, S., Malakahmad, A., Isa, M.H., 2012. Refinery wastewater biological treatment: A short review, Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research 71, 251-256.
- Jafarinejad, S., 2015. Recent advances in determination of herbicide paraquat in environmental waters and its removal from aqueous solutions: A review, International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences 9 (10), 1758-1774.
- Jafarinejad, S., 2016a. Control and treatment of sulfur compounds specially sulfur oxides (SO_x) emissions from the petroleum industry: A review, Chemistry International 2(4), 242-253.
- Jafarinejad, S., 2016b. Odours emission and control in the petroleum refinery: A review, Current Science Perspectives 2(3), 78-82.
- Jafarinejad, S., 2016c. Cost estimation and economical evaluation of three configurations of activated sludge process for a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) using simulation, Applied Water Science, DOI: 10.1007/s13201-016-0446-8.

- Jafarinejad, S., 2017a. Petroleum waste treatment and pollution control, First edition, Elsevier Inc., Butterworth-Heinemann, USA.
- Jafarinejad, S., 2017b. Recent developments in the application of sequencing batch reactor (SBR) technology for the petroleum industry wastewater treatment, Chemistry International 3(3), 241-250.
- Ji, G., Sun, T., Zhou, Q., Sui, X., Chang, S., Li, P., 2002. Constructed subsurface flow wetland for treating heavy oil-produced water of the Liaohe Oilfield in China. Ecological Engineering 18, 459-465.
- Khaing, T.-H., Li, J., Li, Y., Wai, N., Wong, F.-s., 2010. Feasibility study on petrochemical wastewater treatment and reuse using a novel submerged membrane distillation bioreactor. Separation and Purification Technology 74, 138-143.
- Meidl, J.A., 1992. An overview of PACT wastewater treatment for the petroleum and petrochemical industries, Technical Report No. 077, Siemens Water Technologies Corp., Presented at Symposium - Environmental Successes in the Chemical Industries, 203rd, American Chemical Society Meeting, San Francisco, CA, April 6, 1992.
- Meidl, J.A., 1997. Responding to changing conditions: How powdered activated carbon systems can provide the operational flexibility necessary to treat contaminated groundwater and industrial wastes, Carbon 35 (9), 1207-1216.
- Nayar, S.C., Sylvester, N.D., 1979. Control of phenol biological reactors by addition of powdered activated carbon, Water Research 13, 201-205.
- Qin, J.-J., Oo, M.H., Tao, G., Kekre, K.A., 2007. Feasibility study on petrochemical wastewater treatment and reuse using submerged MBR. Journal of Membrane Science 293, 161-166.
- Rizzo, J.A., 1976. Case history: Use of powdered activated carbon in an activated sludge system, Proc. of the First Open Forum on Management of Petroleum Refinery Wastewaters, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, API, NPRA, University of Tulsa. 359-374.
- Rizzo, J.A., 1979. Case history: Use of powdered activated carbon in an activated sludge system, Activated carbon treatment of industrial wastewaters, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-600/2-79-177, 236-251.
- Robertaccio, F.L., 1973. Powdered carbon addition to biological reactors, Paper presented at the Sixth Mid-Atlantic Industrial Waste Conference. University of Delaware, Newark, DE.
- Robertaccio, F.L., Grulich, G., Hutton, D.G., Glotzer, H.L., 1972. Treatment of organic chemicals plant wastewater with du Pont PACT process, Paper presented at the 70th National Meeting of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers. Dallas, TX.
- Rocha, J.H.B., Gomes, M.M.S., Fernandes, N.S., da Silva, D.R., Martínez-Huitle, C.A., 2012. Application of electrochemical oxidation as alternative treatment of

produced water generated by Brazilian petrochemical industry. Fuel Processing Technology 96, 80-87.

- Rubio, J., Souza, M., Smith, R., 2002. Overview of flotation as a wastewater treatment technique. Minerals Engineering 15, 139-155.
- Santos, B., Crespo, J.G., Santos, M.A., Velizarov, S., 2016. Oil refinery hazardous effluents minimization by membrane filtration: An on-site pilot plant study, Journal of Environmental Management, In press.
- Shokrollahzadeh, S., Azizmohseni, F., Golmohammad, F., Shokouhi, H., Khademhaghighat, F., 2008. Biodegradation potential and bacterial diversity of a petrochemical wastewater treatment plant in Iran. Bioresource Technology 99, 6127-6133.
- Siemens AG, 2011. Sinopec selects Siemens for wastewater treatment at Chinese refinery, Siemens AG Industry Sector - Industry Solutions Division, Reference number: IIS201105.888e fp. [Online] Available from: http://www.energy.siemens.com/ru/pool/hq/industries -utilities/oil-gas/water-solutions/Wastewatertreatment-at-Chinese-refinery.pdf
- Stadnik, J.G., Flyn, B.P., 1977. State-of-the-art: powdered activated carbon addition to activated sludge, Paper for presentation at the AIChE National Meeting, New York, New York (5 Nov. 1977).
- Sublette, K.L., Snider, E.H., Sylvester, N.D., 1982. A review of the mechanism of powdered activated carbon enhancement of activated sludge treatment, Water Research 16 (7), 1075-1082.
- Tri, P.T., 2002. Oily wastewater treatment by membrane bioreactor process coupled with biological activated carbon process, Master of Engineering Thesis, Asian Institute of Technology, School of Environment, Resources and Development, Thailand, August 2002.
- Tyagi, R.D., Tran, F.T., Chowdhury, A.K.M.M., 1993. Biodegradation of petroleum refinery wastewater in a modified rotating biological contactor with polyurethane foam attached to the disks, Water Research 27 (1), 91-99.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), 1995. Profile of the petroleum refining industry, EPA Office of compliance Sector Notebook Project, EPA/310-R-95-013, September 1995, Office of Compliance, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.
- Wong, J.M., Chiang, K., Maroney, P.M., Diepolder, P.R., Benedict, A., 1992. Petroleum effluent toxicity reduction -From pilot to full-scale plant, Water Science Technology 25 (3), 221-228.
- World Bank Group, 2016. Environmental, heath, and safety guidelines petroleum refining, November 17, 2016, 1-35. [Online] Available from: http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/df09eb23-f252-4d08-ac86-db1972c781a7/2016-EHS+Guidelines+for+Petroleum+Refining+FINAL.pdf?M OD=AJPERES
- Yaghmaei, S., Asr, R., Moslehi, P., 2005. Experimental comparison of two modifications of activated sludge for

ISSN: 2410-9649

treatment of furfural-containing wastewater, Iranian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 2 (1), 3-9.

Zhong, J., Sun, X., Wang, C., 2003. Treatment of oily wastewater produced from refinery processes using flocculation and ceramic membrane filtration. Separation and Purification Technology 32, 93-98.

Visit us at: http://bosaljournals.com/chemint/ Submissions are accepted at: editorci@bosaljournals.com